

Supersymmetry breaking by Wilson lines in AdS_5

Jonathan Bagger^{*} and Michele Redi[†]

Department of Physics and Astronomy

Johns Hopkins University

3400 North Charles Street

Baltimore, MD 21218-2686

Abstract

In the Randall-Sundrum compactification of AdS_5 with detuned brane tensions, supersymmetry can be spontaneously broken by a non-trivial Wilson line for the graviphoton. The supersymmetry breaking vanishes in the tuned limit. This effect is equivalent to supersymmetry breaking by Scherk-Schwarz boundary conditions.

^{*}email: bagger@jhu.edu

[†]email: redi@pha.jhu.edu

In this note we show that in the supersymmetric Randall-Sundrum model [1] with detuned brane tensions [2], supersymmetry can be broken by the Hosotani (or Wilson line) mechanism [3]. The setup is based on five-dimensional anti de-Sitter (AdS₅) supergravity, compactified on the orbifold $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$, with branes located at the orbifold fixed points. The brane actions and supersymmetry transformations are adjusted so that the bulk-plus-brane theory is locally supersymmetric. This can always be done provided the magnitudes of the brane tensions do not exceed the tuned value [4].

In five-dimensional AdS supergravity, the dynamical fields are the vielbein e_M^A , the graviphoton B_M , and a symplectic Majorana gravitino Ψ_{Mi} . When the tensions are tuned, the ground-state metric on each brane is flat, and the distance between the branes is a modulus of the compactification. In the detuned case, the metric on each brane is AdS₄, and the distance between the branes is fixed in terms of the brane tensions and the bulk cosmological constant. In each case, the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of B_5 is not determined by the classical equations of motion.¹ It is a modulus of the compactification, even in the detuned case.

The graviphoton gauges a $U(1)$ subgroup of the flat-space $SU(2)$ automorphism group. Under this $U(1)$, the gravitino is charged, with charge proportional to the AdS₅ curvature. As in the ordinary Hosotani mechanism, the VEV of B_5 induces a gravitino bilinear in the five-dimensional action.² At first glance, one might think that supersymmetry is spontaneously broken. In fact, we will see that a VEV breaks supersymmetry when the branes are not tuned, but does not break supersymmetry when they are. This is related to the fact that the distance between the branes is a modulus in the tuned limit.

In ref. [6], using the interval representation of the orbifold, boundary conditions consistent with local supersymmetry were found that spontaneously break global supersymmetry. The boundary conditions were proved to be equivalent to a Scherk-Schwarz twist on the orbifold covering space. In the final part of this paper, we will show that these results are equivalent to the breaking by a Wilson line.

We start recalling the action for pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 5$ supergravity with cosmological constant,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{bulk}} = & M_5^3 \int d^5x \, e_5 \left[-\frac{1}{2}R + 6k^2 - \frac{1}{4}F^{MN}F_{MN} + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\Psi}_{Mi}\Gamma^{MNK}D_N\Psi_{Ki} \right. \\
& - \frac{3}{2}k \, \bar{\Psi}_{Mi}\Sigma^{MN}\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{ij}\Psi_{Nj} + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}k \, B_N\bar{\Psi}_{Mi}\Gamma^{MNK}\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{ij}\Psi_{Kj} \\
& \left. - i\frac{\sqrt{6}}{16}F_{MN}\left(2\bar{\Psi}_i^M\Psi_i^N + \bar{\Psi}_{Pi}\Gamma^{MNPQ}\Psi_{Qi}\right) - \frac{1}{6\sqrt{6}}\epsilon^{MNPQR}F_{MN}F_{PQ}B_R \right], \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

¹Note that the VEV of B_5 is gauge invariant for gauge transformations defined on the circle. A non-zero VEV of B_5 gives rise to a non-trivial Wilson line for the graviphoton around the fifth dimension.

²This mechanism is reminiscent of one proposed in [5], where an auxiliary field was used to trigger supersymmetry breaking in flat five-dimensional supergravity.

where M_5 is the five-dimensional Planck mass and k is the bulk cosmological constant. The gravitino Ψ_{Mi} is a symplectic Majorana spinor and $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is a constant unit vector that parameterizes the gauged $U(1)$ subgroup of $SU(2)$. As the notation suggests, Ψ_{Mi} transforms as a doublet under $SU(2)$, but the $U(1)$ gauge coupling explicitly breaks $SU(2)$ down to $U(1)$. Under the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, the fields transform as

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{Mi} &\rightarrow \exp \left[ik \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \lambda \right]_{ij} \Psi_{Mj} \\ B_M &\rightarrow B_M + \partial_M \lambda.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

The gravitino charge is proportional to the five-dimensional cosmological constant, k .

To compactify the theory on the orbifold $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$, we decompose the symplectic Majorana spinor Ψ_i in two-component notation,

$$\Psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1\alpha} \\ \bar{\psi}_2^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\psi_{2\alpha} \\ \bar{\psi}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}.\tag{3}$$

We also assign the following parities to the fields,

$$\begin{array}{llllll}\text{even:} & e_m^a, & e_5^{\hat{5}}, & B_5, & \psi_{m1}, & \psi_{52} \\ \text{odd:} & e_m^{\hat{5}}, & B_m, & \psi_{m2}, & \psi_{51}.\end{array}$$

With these choices, the parameter q_3 must have odd parity for the action to be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant.

We work in the orbifold covering space, with the two branes of tensions T_0 and T_π , located at $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pi$ along the fifth-dimension. Local supersymmetry requires that we include the following brane action [4]

$$\begin{aligned}S_{brane} = & - \int d^4x d\phi \, e_4 [T_0 + 2\alpha_0 (\psi_{m1} \sigma^{mn} \psi_{n1} + h.c.)] \delta(\phi) \\ & - \int d^4x d\phi \, e_4 [T_\pi - 2\alpha_\pi (\psi_{m1} \sigma^{mn} \psi_{n1} + h.c.)] \delta(\phi - \pi),\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where the brane-localized gravitino masses are fixed by the brane tensions and the vector $\vec{q}$. (For related work, see [7]).

The ground state metric is

$$ds^2 = F(\phi)^2 g_{mn} dx^m dx^n + r_0^2 d\phi^2,\tag{5}$$

where the warp factor is

$$F(\phi) = e^{-kr_0|\phi|} + \left(\frac{T - T_0}{T + T_0} \right) e^{kr_0|\phi|}\tag{6}$$

and T is the tuned tension,

$$T = 6M_5^3 k.\tag{7}$$

The metric g_{mn} in eq. (5) is AdS_4 with radius of curvature L , where

$$\frac{1}{4k^2 L^2} = \frac{T - T_0}{T + T_0}. \quad (8)$$

The radius of the orbifold is fixed to be

$$r_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi k} \log \frac{(T + T_0)(T + T_\pi)}{(T - T_0)(T - T_\pi)}. \quad (9)$$

Note that when only one tension is tuned, the critical distance between the branes is infinite, while if the tensions are equal and opposite, the distance is zero. In the tuned case, with $T_0 = -T_\pi = T$, the radius is not determined.

The full bulk-plus-brane action is invariant under five-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry, restricted to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ at the orbifold fixed points. In four-dimensional language, the variations of the fermionic fields are [4],

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi_{m1} &= 2D_m\eta_1 + ik\sigma_m(q_3\bar{\eta}_2 + q_{12}^*\bar{\eta}_1) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}ie_5^{\hat{5}}F^{n5}(\sigma_{mn} + g_{mn})\eta_1 \\ \delta\psi_{m2} &= 2D_m\eta_2 + ik\sigma_m(q_3\bar{\eta}_1 - q_{12}\bar{\eta}_2) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}ie_5^{\hat{5}}F^{n5}(\sigma_{mn} + g_{mn})\eta_2 \\ \delta\psi_{51} &= 2D_5\eta_1 + k(e_5^{\hat{5}} - i\sqrt{6}B_5)(q_3\eta_1 - q_{12}^*\eta_2) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}F_{m5}\sigma^m\bar{\eta}_2 \\ \delta\psi_{52} &= 2D_5\eta_2 - k(e_5^{\hat{5}} - i\sqrt{6}B_5)(q_3\eta_2 + q_{12}\eta_1) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}F_{m5}\sigma^m\bar{\eta}_1 - 4(\alpha_0\delta(\phi) - \alpha_\pi\delta(\phi - \pi))\eta_1, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $q_{12} = q_1 + iq_2$, and for simplicity, we have set $e_m^{\hat{5}} = B_m = 0$. The supersymmetry parameters $\eta_{1,2}$ are even and odd, respectively. Note that the supersymmetry transformation $\delta\psi_{52}$ contains a brane-localized contribution.

To discuss supersymmetry breaking, we consider the Killing spinor equations following from (10). The equations can be solved by separation of variables, taking $\eta_{1,2}(x, \phi) = \beta_{1,2}(\phi)\eta(x)$. The conditions $\delta\psi_{m1} = 0$ and $\delta\psi_{m2} = 0$ imply that the four-dimensional spinor $\eta(x)$ satisfies the four-dimensional Killing spinor equation in AdS_4 . The equations $\delta\psi_{51} = 0$ and $\delta\psi_{52} = 0$ constrain the fermionic warp factors $\beta_{1,2}(\phi)$. In a constant B_5 background, we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_5\beta_1 + k(r_0 - i\sqrt{6}B_5)(q_3\beta_1 - q_{12}^*\beta_2) &= 0 \\ 2\partial_5\beta_2 - k(r_0 - i\sqrt{6}B_5)(q_3\beta_2 + q_{12}\beta_1) &= 4(\alpha_0\delta(\phi) - \alpha_\pi\delta(\phi - \pi))\beta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

To simplify the discussion we restrict our attention to the case $q_3 = \epsilon(\phi)$, $q_{1,2} = 0$, where $\epsilon(\phi)$ is the step function. (Any other choice is physically equivalent and can be obtained by an

$SU(2)$ rotation of the gravitino.) The solution to the above equations, defined on the orbifold, is

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(\phi) &= A \exp \left[-\frac{k}{2}(r_0 - i\sqrt{6}B_5)|\phi| \right] \\ \beta_2(\phi) &= B \exp \left[+\frac{k}{2}(r_0 - i\sqrt{6}B_5)|\phi| \right] \epsilon(\phi).\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

In general, the $\beta_{1,2}$ are solutions *except* at the orbifold fixed points. Global supersymmetry demands that the Killing spinors be solutions everywhere. This requires that we match the delta-function singularities, which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{B}{A} &= \alpha_0 \\ \frac{B}{A} &= \alpha_\pi \exp \left[-\pi k(r_0 - i\sqrt{6}B_5) \right].\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

Local supersymmetry determines the magnitudes of coefficients (α_0, α_π) in terms of the brane tensions [4],

$$\begin{aligned}|\alpha_0|^2 &= \frac{T - T_0}{T + T_0} \\ |\alpha_\pi| &= |\alpha_0| \exp(k\pi r_0).\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

When α_0 and α_π have the same phase, it follows from (13) that unbroken supersymmetry requires $B_5 = 0$. Then, for generic values of B_5 , eqs. (13) have no solution, and supersymmetry is spontaneously broken. When α_0 and α_π have different phases, the situation is the same, up to a shift in the origin of B_5 . Note that the second of eqs. (13) shows that B_5 is a periodic variable,

$$[B_5] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}k}.\tag{15}$$

The supersymmetry breaking is invariant under shifts by $2/(\sqrt{6}k)$.

The tuned model is a degenerate case. Since $\alpha_{0,\pi} = 0$, eqs. (13) are always satisfied, and the VEV of B_5 does not break supersymmetry. A simple argument explains why this must be so. When the tensions are tuned, the radius of the orbifold is a modulus. In the low-energy effective theory, as shown in [8], the superpotential for the radion vanishes, which implies that supersymmetry cannot be broken. In contrast, in the detuned case, the superpotential for the radion is non-zero [9]. Even though B_5 is still a flat direction of the potential, its VEV breaks supersymmetry and contributes to the mass of the four-dimensional gravitino.

Supersymmetry breaking by the Hosotani mechanism can be easily translated into the language of Scherk-Schwarz compactification [10]. From (2), we see that a VEV for B_5 can be

gauged away by a non-periodic gauge transformation, with parameter

$$\lambda = - \int_0^\phi B_5 d\phi'. \quad (16)$$

The gravitino transforms into

$$\Psi_{M1} \rightarrow \Psi'_{M1} = W(\phi)\Psi_{M1}, \quad (17)$$

where we have introduced the Wilson line $W(\phi)$,

$$W(\phi) = \exp \left[-ik\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^\phi B_5 d\phi' \right]. \quad (18)$$

For a non-trivial Wilson line, the gravitino becomes multivalued on the circle. In this picture, supersymmetry is broken by non-periodic boundary conditions [6]. Note that when B_5 is quantized in units of $2/(\sqrt{6}k)$, the transformation (17) does not change the periodicity of Ψ_M , so the Wilson line can be absorbed by a redefinition of the gravitino field.

In conclusion, we would like to comment on supersymmetry breaking when vector- and hyper-multiplets are minimally coupled to supergravity. The vector multiplet contains a gauge field V_M , two symplectic Majorana spinors λ_i , and a real scalar. The hypermultiplet consists of a Dirac spinor and two complex scalars H_i . The fields λ_i and H_i transform as doublets under $SU(2)$; all other fields are singlets. In AdS supergravity, since a $U(1)$ subgroup of $SU(2)$ is gauged, the graviphoton couples to λ_i and H_i . As a result, the Wilson line supersymmetry breaking is communicated to this sector. This effect persists even when gravity is decoupled by taking $M_5 \rightarrow \infty$ with L is finite.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. Belyaev and A. Delgado for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation, grant NSF-PHY-9970781.

References

- [1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, “A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3370 (1999), [hep-ph/9905221];
L.Randall and R.Sundrum, “An alternative to compactification,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 4690 (1999), [hep-th/9906064].
- [2] N. Kaloper, “Bent domain walls as brane-worlds,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 123506 (1999), [hep-th/9905210].

- [3] Y. Hosotani, “Dynamical mass generation by compact extra dimensions,” Phys. Lett. **B126**, 309 (1983);
 “Dynamical gauge symmetry breaking as the Casimir effect,” Phys. Lett. **B129**, 193 (1983);
 “Dynamics of nonintegrable phases and gauge symmetry breaking” Ann. Phys. **190**, 233 (1989).
- [4] J. Bagger and D. V. Belyaev, “Supersymmetric branes with (almost) arbitrary tensions,” Phys. Rev. **D67**, 025004 (2003), [hep-th/0206024].
- [5] G. v. Gersdorff and M. Quiros, “Supersymmetry breaking on orbifolds from Wilson lines,” Phys. Rev. **D65**, 064016 (2002), [hep-th/0110132].
- [6] J. Bagger and D. Belyaev, “Twisting warped supergravity,” JHEP **0306**, 013 (2003), [hep-th/0306063].
- [7] R. Altendorfer, J. Bagger and D. Nemeschansky, “Supersymmetric Randall-Sundrum scenario,” Phys. Rev. **D63**, 125025 (2001), [hep-th/0003117];
 T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, “Bulk fields and supersymmetry in a slice of AdS,” Nucl. Phys. **B586**, 141 (2000), [hep-ph/0003129];
 A. Falkowski, Z. Lalak and S. Pokorski, “Supersymmetrizing branes with bulk in five-dimensional supergravity,” Phys. Lett. **B491**, 172 (2000), [hep-th/0004093].
- [8] J. Bagger, D. Nemeschansky, R. J. Zhang, “Supersymmetric radion in the Randall-Sundrum scenario,” JHEP **0108**, 057 (2001), [hep-th/0012163];
 M. A. Luty and R. Sundrum, “Hierarchy stabilization in warped supersymmetry,” Phys. Rev. **D64**, 065012 (2001), [hep-th/0012158].
- [9] J. Bagger and M. Redi, to appear.
- [10] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, “Spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry through dimensional reduction,” Phys. Lett. **B82**, 60 (1979);
 “How To Get Masses From Extra Dimensions”, Nucl. Phys. **B153**, 61 (1979).